

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Drakos v. Sandow, Docket No. 47363

The Idaho Supreme Court affirmed the district court. Drakos brought an action against Sandow seeking enforcement of a promissory note. The Court held the district court did not err in granting summary judgment for Sandow because the statute of limitations barred Drakos' action and Sandow did not acknowledge the debt pursuant to Idaho Code section 5-238. The Court also held the district court did not err in denying Drakos' motion for reconsideration because Drakos failed to establish the legal services Sandow performed were provided as payment on the debt. The Court awarded costs on appeal to Sandow.