

SUMMARY STATEMENT

State v. Andersen

Docket No. 45042

In the State's appeal from a decision of the district court in Kootenai County, the Supreme Court reversed the district court's decision granting Brianna Nicole Andersen's motion to suppress. The district court granted Andersen's motion after finding that Andersen's statements were made without required *Miranda* warnings during a custodial interrogation and that Andersen's statements were involuntarily made. The Supreme Court first recognized that because the entire record before the district court was footage from a body-worn camera that the Supreme Court could review, the district court's decision was not to be reviewed with the normal deference. The Court then found that under the totality of the circumstances, Andersen was not subject to restrictions typically associated with a formal arrest and therefore her statements should not have been suppressed. The Court also found that Andersen's statements were made voluntarily.