

**MOSCOW, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 8, 2026 AT 8:45 A.M.**

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

<b>JACKIE SHAYDE SEDILLO,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Petitioner-Appellant,</b>	)	
	)	<b>Docket No. 53158</b>
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>STATE OF IDAHO,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Respondent.</b>	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Second Judicial District, State of Idaho, Idaho County. Mark Monson, District Judge.

Nevin, Benjamin & McKay, LLP, Boise, for Appellant.

Raúl R. Labrador, Idaho Attorney General, Boise, for Respondent.

---

Jackie Shayde Sedillo appeals the district court’s summary dismissal of his post-conviction petition. On appeal, he contends the district court erred by rejecting his claim that trial counsel was ineffective for failing to object on double jeopardy grounds to his convictions and consecutive sentences for both robbery and grand theft of the same automobile. Both offenses occurred simultaneously and involved the same victim. Sedillo asserts that, under the pleading theory, grand theft is a lesser-included offense of robbery. The State counters that Sedillo did not make a prima facie showing of ineffective assistance because his argument regarding theft as a lesser-included offense lacks merit.