

BOISE, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 15, 2026, AT 8:45 A.M.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

WAYNE MORRISON,)
)
Plaintiff-Counterdefendant-)
Appellant,)

and)

TODD MORRISON, BRYANT MORRISON,)
and LYN DEESUE MORRISON,)

Plaintiffs-Appellants,)

v.)

CHRISTINA K. THOMPSON, as Trustee of)
the Petra E. Morrison Trust, and as Trustee)
of the Frank L. Morrison Trust,)

Defendant-Counterclaimant-)
Respondent,)

and)

CHARLES THOMPSON and CHRISTINA)
THOMPSON, husband and wife,)
individually; CRAIG THOMPSON,)
CAROLYN HASTINGS, and CARL)
THOMPSON,)

Defendants-Respondents,)

and)

DOES INDIVIDUALS/ENTITIES 1-10,)

Defendants.)

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETRA)
MORRISON TRUST, utu May 14, 2007, and)
as AMENDED September 16, 2016;)
CHRISTINA K. THOMPSON, Successor)
Trustee,)

Docket No. 52401

Petitioner.

**IN THE MATTER OF THE FRANK
MORRISON TRUST, utu February 13, 1986,
CHRISTINA K. THOMPSON, Co-Trustee,**

Petitioner.

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District of the State of Idaho,
Blaine County. Jonathan P. Brody, District Judge.

Elam & Burke, P.A., Boise, for Appellants.

Haemmerle Law, PLLC, Hailey, for Respondents Christina K. Thompson as
Successor Trustee of the Petra Morrison Trust and the Co-Trustee of the Frank
Morrison Trust; and Carolyn Hastings as Co-Trustee of the Frank Morrison Trust.

Stanek Law, PLLC, Hailey, for Respondents Christina Thompson, Charles
Thompson, Craig Thompson, Carolyn Hastings, and Carl Thompson.

This case involves the distribution of two trusts: the Frank Morrison Trust (“FMT”) and
the Petra Morrison Trust (“PMT”). The main asset in both trusts is property located in Sun Valley,
Idaho (the “Farnlun property”). Frank and Petra’s daughter, Christina Thompson, is a trustee of
both trusts. The trustees of the FMT and PMT filed petitions to distribute both trusts in-kind.

Frank and Petra’s son, Wayne Morrison, and his children opposed the petitions for
distribution. They filed a complaint against the trustees (including Christina) and Christina’s
family members that included a request for partition. They also filed a petition seeking to remove
the trustees. The defendants filed a motion for summary judgment and asked the district court to
dismiss the complaint. The district court granted the motion for summary judgment, denied the
petition to remove the trustees, granted the petitions for distribution, and awarded attorney fees
against Wayne and his children’s share of the FMT.

Wayne appeals and argues that the district court erred in four respects. First, he argues that
the district court erred by denying his petition to remove the trustees because there is evidence that
they breached their fiduciary duties in the administration of the trusts. Second, he argues that the
district court erred by granting the petition for distribution because the calculation of the
beneficiaries’ interests in the Farnlun property was based on a disputed valuation of the property
and improper offsets to the Thompson family. He further argues that the district court erred in its
application of a no contest clause to disinherit him from the PMT. Third, he claims the district
court incorrectly concluded that Wayne lacked standing to seek partition of the Farnlun property.
Fourth, he challenges the award of attorney fees below.