

In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO THE
IDAHO COURT ADMINISTRATIVE
RULES

ORDER

The Court, having received a recommendation to amend the Idaho Court Administrative Rules, and being fully informed as to the recommendation,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Idaho Court Administrative Rules be amended as follows:

Idaho Court Administrative Rule 54. Guardianships and Conservatorships.

Every individual seeking appointment as a guardian or conservator shall file with the court a certificate of completion of the Supreme Court's online training course ~~relating to the duties and responsibilities of a guardian or conservator~~ prior to the issuance of permanent letters of guardianship or conservatorship, ~~unless otherwise waived by the court for good cause~~. The Supreme Court may charge a \$25.00 fee to participants to cover the cost of furnishing this training. This fee shall be deposited in the guardianship pilot project fund as provided in section 31-3201 G, Idaho Code. ~~This rule shall not apply to cases involving the guardianship or conservatorship of a minor.~~ Completion of the course or fees may be waived by the court.

Idaho Court Administrative Rule 54.4. Visitor Reports.

(a) A visitor must have the following qualifications:

1. A license in good standing in any state in the field of social services or health care;
2. A post-baccalaureate degree, including, but not limited to, a Masters in Social Work (MSW), Masters of Science in Nursing (MSN), Juris Doctorate (JD), and at least two years of relevant experience; or
3. At least two (2) years of relevant experience in the range of case types which arise under Title 15, Chapters 3 and 5, and Title 66, Chapter 4, Idaho Code. The court may determine whether the proposed visitor's experience is sufficient.

(b) The visitor must visit and interview the person proposed to be under guardianship ("person") at the person's residence, if feasible, or where the person can be found. The visitor must also interview the petitioner and any proposed guardian or conservator. It is preferable that the interviews be conducted separately.

(c) In preparing reports, the visitor must consider all available information concerning any proposed guardian, conservator, and individual who resides in or frequents the person's proposed residence, including, but not limited to, information available to the visitor pursuant to Idaho Code Section 15-5-311.

(d) The visitor must file a report with the court, signed under oath or affirmation, which includes the following information:

1. The person's impairments and how those impairments may affect the person's understanding or capacity to make or communicate decisions;
2. The person's functional limitations and how they have exposed or may expose the person to substantial harm in the following areas:
 - A. Ability to provide for food, clothing, shelter, health care, or safety; and
 - B. Ability to manage his or her property or financial affairs.
3. Acts, occurrences, or statements within the past twelve months related to the person's inability to provide for personal needs or to manage property;
4. Whether the acts, occurrences, or statements were done or made:
 - A. Voluntarily;
 - B. With consideration of the risk and consequences and a clear understanding of the potential outcome;
 - C. With relevant information necessary to make the decision;
 - D. With an understanding that the person is free to choose or refuse any alternative available; and
 - E. As a result of a temporary or reversible condition.
5. Need for care or treatment, and residential requirements;
6. The person's opinions and preferences regarding:
 - A. The need for a guardianship or conservatorship;
 - B. The terms of the guardianship or conservatorship and
 - C. The proposed guardian or conservator.
7. The financial status of the person, including any public benefits or services;

8. The person's understanding of his or her financial status;
9. Whether the person understands the nature of the proceedings and if he or she is able to and wishes to attend the hearing;
10. Whether a convicted felon resides in or frequents the person's current or proposed residence;
11. Identity of all the people:
 - A. With significant interest in the welfare of the person;
 - B. Who should be informed of the proceedings;
 - C. Who currently assist the person on a regular basis; or
 - D. Who may be available to assist the person on a regular basis.
12. Qualifications of the proposed guardian or conservator and the nature and quality of their relationship with the person;
13. The purpose and need for the guardianship or conservatorship;
14. Recommendations:
 - A. Whether a guardianship or conservatorship is necessary and why less intrusive alternatives are not appropriate;
 - B. What alternatives to guardianship or conservatorship have been explored or tried;
 - C. If a limited guardianship or conservatorship is recommended:
 - i) The specific limitations on the guardian's or conservator's authority; and
 - ii) How the guardian or conservator will engage and involve the person in decision-making; and
 - iii) Complete and attach a differentiated case management tool with monitoring recommendations.
 - D. If a full guardianship or conservatorship is recommended:
 - i) Why a limited guardianship or limited conservatorship is not appropriate; and
 - ii) How the guardian or conservator will engage and involve the person in decision-making; and

iii) Complete and attach a differentiated case management tool with monitoring recommendations.

- E. The appropriateness of the proposed guardian or conservator;
- F. The appropriateness of the person's residence or proposed residence;
- G. The appropriateness of the proposed guardian's care plan or conservator's financial plan;
- H. The appropriateness of requiring a bond by the proposed conservator, taking into account the financial status of the proposed conservator; and
- I. The need to reassess periodically for modification or restoration of rights.

(e) Unless the court decides otherwise, the visitor must provide copies of any filed reports to:

- 1. Guardian ad Litem;
- 2. Petitioner;
- 3. Proposed guardian or conservator;
- 4. Any attorney of record; and
- 5. Person proposed to be under guardianship or conservatorship.

Idaho Court Administrative Rule 54.5. Idaho Department of Health and Welfare Evaluation Committee Reports.

(a) The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare Evaluation Committee must interview the person proposed to be under guardianship ("person"). The evaluation committee must also interview the petitioner and any proposed guardian or conservator. It is preferable that the interviews be conducted separately.

(b) In preparing reports, the evaluation committee must consider all available information concerning any proposed guardian, conservator, and individual who resides in or frequents the person's proposed residence, including, but not limited to, information available to the evaluation committee pursuant to Idaho Code Section 66-404.

(c) The evaluation committee must file a report with the court, signed by each committee member under oath or affirmation, which includes the following information:

- 1. A description of the person's chronic disability and whether the individual meets the statutory definition of developmental disability found at Idaho Code Section 66-402(5),

including an identification of the three functional limitations and a description of how the identified functional limitations are substantial limitations;

2. A description of the person's mental, emotional, and physical condition; educational status; and adaptive and social skills;

3. A description of the lifelong or extended duration of special care, treatment, or other services, including whether the person is on a Developmental Disability Waiver and whether the individual participates in person-centered planning, including the identity of the person-centered planning team members;

4. The person's opinions and preferences regarding:

A. The need for a guardianship or conservatorship;

B. The terms of the guardianship or conservatorship; and,

C. The proposed guardian or conservator.

5. The financial status of the person, including any public benefits or services;

6. The person's understanding of his or her financial status;

7. Identify the areas where the person can or cannot achieve a rudimentary understanding of the purpose, nature, and possible risks and benefits of a decision after conscientious efforts at explanation, including, but not limited to, the nature of the proceedings;

8. If the person is able to and wishes to attend the hearing;

9. If known, whether a convicted felon resides in or frequents the person's current or proposed residence;

10. Identity of all the people:

A. With a significant interest in the welfare of the person;

B. Who should be informed of the proceedings;

C. Who currently assist the person on a regular basis; and,

D. Who may be available to assist the person on a regular basis.

11. Qualifications of the proposed guardian or conservator, including the following;

A. The nature and quality of their relationship with the person;

B. Whether the proposed guardian or conservator is willing to permit the

person to participate as fully as possible in all decisions which affect the person;

C. Whether the proposed guardian or conservator is willing to assist the person in meeting the essential requirements for the person's physical health and safety, protecting the person's rights, and managing the person's financial resources; and,

D. Whether the proposed guardian or conservator is willing to assist the person in developing or regaining the person's abilities to the maximum extent possible.

12. The purpose and need for the guardianship or conservatorship;

13. Recommendations:

A. Whether a guardianship or conservatorship is necessary and why less intrusive alternatives are not appropriate;

B. What alternatives to guardianship or conservatorship have been explored or tried;

C. If a limited guardianship or conservatorship is recommended:

i) The specific limitations on the guardian's or conservator's authority;
and,

ii) How the guardian or conservator will engage and involve the person in decision-making; and,

iii) Complete and attach a differentiated case management tool with monitoring recommendations.

D. If a full guardianship or conservatorship is recommended:

i) Why a limited guardianship or limited conservatorship is not appropriate; and,

ii) How the guardian or conservator will engage and involve the person in decision-making; and,

iii) Complete and attach a differentiated case management tool with monitoring recommendations.

E. The appropriateness of the proposed guardian or conservator;

F. The appropriateness of the proposed guardian's care plan or conservator's financial plan;

G. The appropriateness of requiring a bond by the proposed conservator,

taking into account the financial status of the proposed conservator;

H. The need to reassess the guardianship or conservatorship periodically for review, modification, or restoration of rights; and,

14. The signature of each member of the evaluation committee with a statement of concurrence or non-concurrence with the findings and any dissenting opinions or other comments of the members.

(d) Unless the court decides otherwise, the evaluation committee must provide copies of any filed reports to:

1. Petitioner
2. Proposed guardian or conservator
3. Any attorney of record; and
4. Person proposed to be under guardianship or conservatorship.

Idaho Court Administrative Rule 54.6. Professional Guardian and Conservator Certification.

(a) A professional guardian or conservator is a person who:

1. will provide guardianship or conservatorship services for a fee;
2. has rendered guardianship or conservatorship services for three or more persons; and,
3. is not related to the person under guardianship or conservatorship by blood, adoption, marriage, or civil union.

(b) A professional guardian or conservator must be certified by the Center for Guardianship Certification unless waived by the court for good cause.

(c) If a professional guardian or conservator is an entity, including, but not limited to, limited liability organizations and partnerships, it must have a certified guardian or conservator involved in the provision of guardianship or conservatorship services for persons under guardianship or conservatorship.

(d) The court can for good cause require any guardian or conservator to be certified by the Center for Guardianship Certification.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that this order and these amendments shall be effective July 1, 2020.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the above designation of the striking of words from the Rules by lining through them, and the designation of the addition of new portions of the Rules by underlining such new portion is for the purposes of information only as amended, and NO OTHER AMENDMENTS ARE INTENDED. The lining through and underlining shall not be considered a part of the permanent Idaho Court Administrative Rules.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the Clerk of the Court shall cause notice of this Order to be published in one issue of *The Advocate*.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2020.

By Order of the Supreme Court

Roger S. Burdick
Roger S. Burdick, Chief Justice

ATTEST:

Karel A. Lehrman
Clerk

I, Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk of the Supreme Court/
Court of Appeals of the State of Idaho, do hereby
certify that the above is a true and correct copy of
the Order to Re: Amendments
entered in the above entitled cause and now on
record in my office.

WITNESS my hand and the Seal of this Court 4-15-2020

KAREL A. LEHRMAN

Clerk

By: [Signature] Chief Deputy