I.C.A.R. 52. Policy Declaration Relating To Court Interpreters

Idaho Court Administrative Rule 52. Court Interpreters

(a) Statement of Policy. The Supreme Court is committed to removing barriers that hinder equal
access to justice by individuals with limited English proficiency (LEP). This commitment serves the
Court's interest in ensuring accurate communication in court proceedings, protecting the integrity of
evidence, and providing language access policies, services, and resources that enhance the quality and
availability of interpreting and translation services in Idaho courts. The Court is committed to taking all
reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to its courts for all individuals, regardless of national origin, ethnicity, or limited ability to read, write, speak, hear, or understand the English language.

- (b) **Scope.** This rule applies to court interpreters who provide spoken language interpretation for the courts. This rule does not apply to interpreters for the deaf, deaf-blind, and hard of hearing, except that all interpreters for the deaf, deaf-blind, and hard of hearing will submit the oath in accordance with subsection (f) of this rule and may be subject to subsection (g) regarding removal in an individual case. The courts will utilize the Registry of Interpreters for the Deaf or other court recognized certifying bodies for court interpreters for the deaf, deaf-blind, and hard of hearing. The cost for interpreter services for all interpreters will be paid in accordance with subsection (h).
- (c) **Definitions.** For the purpose of these rules, the following words have the following meanings:
- (1) "Appointing authority" means a district or magistrate judge, including pro tem and senior judges within the scope of their appointments, or the judge's designee.
- (2) "Bilingual employee" means an employee who has demonstrated proficiency in both English and one other language. A bilingual employee may provide court operations in both languages but, without additional interpreter credentials, is not qualified to serve as a court interpreter.
- (3) "Certified interpreter" means an individual who has:
- (A) completed the Intro to Court Interpretation class;
- (B) completed the ethics review and written exam prep-class;

I.C.A.R. 52. Policy Declaration Relating To Court Interpreters Published on Supreme Court (https://isc.idaho.gov)

(5) "Conditionally approved interpreter" means an individual who has:
(A) completed the Intro to Court Interpretation class;
(B) completed the ethics review and written exam prep-class;
(C) passed the NCSC written exam with a score of 80 percent or higher; and,
(D) received an overall score of 55 percent or higher on the NCSC oral certification exam, with no single score falling below a 50 percent, including on the simultaneous, consecutive, and both sight-English and sight-foreign translation portions.
An individual may fall under this level of qualification for a period of two calendar years, after which they must retake the entire NCSC oral certification exam to remain on the roster.
(6) "Court operations" means services and programs conducted by the local court, local administrative offices, that remain within the overall responsibility of the Supreme Court. Court operations are intended to be distinct from a "court proceeding" which is defined below.
(7) "Court proceeding" means any civil, criminal, domestic relations, juvenile, traffic, or other in-court proceeding in which an individual with limited English proficiency (LEP) is a party in interest, witness, or crime victim.
(8) "Limited English Proficient (LEP)" means an individual does not speak English as his or her primary language and has a limited ability to read, speak, write, or understand the English language.
(9) "Party in interest" means an individual involved in a court proceeding who is a named party, who will be bound by the decision or action, or who is foreclosed from pursuing his or her rights by the decision or action which may be taken in the proceeding. A party in interest also includes the parent(s) or legal guardian(s) of a juvenile, minor child, or an individual with a disability.
(10) "Roster" is a list of court interpreters maintained by the Supreme Court Administrative Office of the Courts, who meet the qualifications under this rule to provide interpreter services for the courts.

(11) "Registered interpreter" means an interpreter for a language for which an NCSC oral certification exam does not exist, yet the individual has:
(A) completed the Intro to Court Interpretation class;
(B) completed the ethics review class and written exam prep-class;
(C) passed the NCSC written exam with a score of 80 percent or higher; and,
(D) passed an oral proficiency exam and other language proficiency assessment.
(12) "Witness" means anyone who testifies in any court proceeding.
(d) Implementing Responsibilities.
The Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office of the Courts, shall administer the Court Interpreter Training and Certification Program. The Administrative Office of the Courts shall establish programs and develop resources for the improvement of court interpreting services, including training and certification of interpreters, development and maintenance of a program policy manual, maintaining and distributing a roster of court interpreters, and collecting and analyzing statistics or other data pertinent to interpreter utilization.
(e) Priority of Appointment for Interpreters.
(1) Subject to subsection (e)(2) of this rule, an interpreter shall be appointed when the appointing authority determines that a party in interest, witness, or crime victim does not communicate in or understand the English language sufficiently to permit effective participation in a court proceeding.
(2) In any court proceeding in which an interpreter is required, the appointing authority shall appoint an interpreter according to the following priority:

I.C.A.R. 52. Policy Declaration Relating To Court Interpreters Published on Supreme Court (https://isc.idaho.gov)

Published on Supreme Court (https://isc.idaho.gov) (A) Certified - Master Level; (B) Certified interpreter; (C) Conditionally approved interpreter; or (D) For a language for which there is no oral certification exam available from the NCSC, a Registered interpreter. (3) The appointing authority may appoint an interpreter of lower priority on the foregoing list when: (A) the interpreter is employed by the State or an Idaho county, and, given the totality of the circumstances, including the nature of the proceeding, use of an interpreter having lower priority will not impair the ability of the individual with LEP to have meaningful access to court proceedings, programs, services, and activities; or (B) when good cause exists. A good cause determination includes, but is not limited to, a determination that: (i) The services of an interpreter of higher priority are not reasonably available to the appointing authority, and, given the totality of the circumstances, including the nature of the proceeding and the potential penalty or consequences involved, use of an interpreter having lower priority will not impair the ability of the individual with LEP to have meaningful access to court proceedings, programs, services, and activities; or (ii) The current roster of certified or registered interpreters maintained by the Administrative Office of

The appointing authority is not required to articulate the basis for appointment under this rule in a court proceeding unless the appointment of an interpreter is challenged. If the appointment of an interpreter is challenged, the court shall make a determination on the record as to whether the appointment of the interpreter conforms with the provisions of this rule.

the Courts does not include an interpreter certified or registered in the language spoken by the

individual with LEP.

414

I.C.A.R. 52. Policy Declaration Relating To Court Interpreters

Published on Supreme Court (https://isc.idaho.gov)

(4) In extraordinary circumstances, upon a finding by the appointing authority that no roster interpreter is available, and that it is necessary to conduct a court proceeding before an interpreter is likely to become available, the appointing authority may appoint an individual as interpreter if the appointing authority finds that such individual is able to interpret from English to the language of the individual with LEP and from the language of that individual into English. The appointing authority may not appoint bilingual employees for court proceedings unless good cause is stated on the record. The appointing authority shall not appoint or use family, friends, companions, untrained, or biased individuals, to serve as an interpreter in a court proceeding other than for brief and simple communications such as to reschedule the court proceeding.

bilingual employees for court proceedings unless good cause is stated on the record. The appointing authority shall not appoint or use family, friends, companions, untrained, or biased individuals, to serve as an interpreter in a court proceeding other than for brief and simple communications such as to reschedule the court proceeding.
(5) Family, friends, companions, untrained, or biased individuals should not be used as an interpreter for court operations other than for brief and simple communications.
(f) Interpreter Oath.
All court interpreters, before commencing their duties, shall take the following oath:
"Do you solemnly swear or affirm that you will interpret and/or translate accurately, completely, and impartially, using your best skill and judgment in accordance with the standards prescribed by law and the Idaho Code of Professional Responsibility for Interpreters in the Judiciary?"
A district judge, magistrate judge, or other individual authorized to administer oaths, will administer the oath to an interpreter on the record, prior to the start of the court proceeding for which the interpreter is interpreting unless the court interpreter is employed by the State or an Idaho county and is listed on the roster. Court interpreters on the roster shall also file a written oath with the Administrative Office of the Courts. The written oath shall remain in effect for 2 years unless the interpreter is removed under subsection (g) of this rule.
(g) Removal of an Interpreter in an Individual Case.
Any of the following actions shall be grounds for an appointing authority to remove an interpreter from a court proceeding:
(1) failing to interpret adequately;

(2) knowingly and willfully making false interpretation while serving in an official capacity;

Powered by <u>Drupal</u>

I.C.A.R. 52. Policy Declaration Relating To Court Interpreters Published on Supreme Court (https://isc.idaho.gov)

(3) knowingly and willfully disclosing confidential or privileged information obtained while serving in an official capacity;
(4) failing to appear as scheduled;
(5) misrepresentation of credentials or other material misstatement of fact relative to appointment as an interpreter;
(6) removal from the roster of court interpreters;
(7) failing to follow other standards prescribed by law and the Idaho Code of Professional Responsibility for Interpreters in the Judiciary.
If an interpreter is removed from a court proceeding, the appointing authority or Trial Court Administrator shall inform the Supreme Court Language Access Coordinator of the removal.
(h) Cost of Interpreter Services.
In all court proceedings in which an interpreter is appointed, the Supreme Court shall determine a reasonable fee for the interpreter's services, which shall be paid out of the district court fund or paid by the county as prescribed by law. In no circumstances shall the individual with LEP be responsible for paying interpreter services.
(Adopted September 25, 1998; effective November 1, 1998; amended December 13, 2004, effective December 15, 2004; amended August 4, 2005, effective August 15, 2005; amended September 30, 2008, effective August 28, 2008; amended April 15, 2024; effective July 1, 2024.)
Source URL: https://isc.idaho.gov/icar52