

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

SHARON WECHSLER,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

NORMAN J. WECHSLER,

Defendant-Appellant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Docket No. 44297

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. David C. Nye, District Judge.

May, Rammell & Thompson, Chtd., Pocatello, for appellant.

Racine, Olsen, Nye, Budge & Bailety, Chtd., Pocatello, for respondent.

In an appeal out of Bannock County, Appellant, Norman Wechsler (“Norman”), challenges several district court orders related to his ex-wife’s attempts to collect on a foreign divorce judgment. Respondent, Sharon Wechsler (“Sharon”), and Norman divorced in New York in 2005. In 2014, Sharon moved a New York court for an order to direct the entry of a money judgment in her favor because Norman had defaulted on his obligation to transfer funds according to a divorce judgment. Sharon’s motion was granted, and a \$9,468,008.98 judgment (the “Judgment”) was issued in her favor. Thereafter, Sharon filed the Judgment in Idaho as a Foreign Judgment. The issues on appeal relate to Sharon’s attempt to collect on this Foreign Judgment and include, *inter alia*: (1) whether the district court erred in granting Sharon’s motion to compel Norman’s answers to a debtor’s exam; (2) whether the district court erred in appointing an ancillary receiver; (3) whether the district court’s writ of assistance violated Norman’s constitutional rights; and (4) whether the district court erred in finding that no conflict of interest existed between Sharon and the ancillary receiver.