

**BOISE, IDAHO, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2021, AT 10:00 A.M.**

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

<b>MANDY L. VALENTINE,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Petitioner-Appellant-</b>	)	
<b>Cross Respondent,</b>	)	
	)	<b>Docket No. 48254</b>
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>DAN MERRILL VALENTINE,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Respondent-Cross Appellant.</b>	)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, Bannock County. Steven A. Thomsen, District Judge.

Hall, Angell & Associates, Idaho Falls, for Appellant.

Schreiner Law, Ammon, for Respondent.

---

This case concerns the appeal of a district court’s intermediate appellate order affirming in part and reversing in part a magistrate court’s order modifying child support. Mandy Valentine (“Mandy”) and Dan Valentine (“Dan”) divorced in 2015. In 2017, Mandy petitioned the magistrate court for an order modifying the child custody and support provisions of the divorce decree. The magistrate court did not modify the custody order but concluded that substantial and material changes in circumstances existed to justify modification of the child support order. Mandy appealed several aspects of this ruling to the district court. The district court, sitting in an appellate capacity, affirmed in part and reversed in part the magistrate court’s order. On appeal to this Court, Mandy challenges the district court’s conclusion that her student loans and several other sources of income could be combined to calculate her income under the Idaho Child Support Guidelines. Dan cross-appeals, challenging the district court’s conclusion that the magistrate court abused its discretion in calculating his pro rata share of childcare expenses.