

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 52094

|                       |                                   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| STATE OF IDAHO,       | )                                 |
|                       | ) <b>Filed: August 13, 2025</b>   |
| Plaintiff-Respondent, | )                                 |
|                       | ) <b>Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk</b> |
| v.                    | )                                 |
|                       | ) <b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>   |
| JOEL WILLIAM WHITE,   | ) <b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>    |
|                       | ) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>    |
| Defendant-Appellant.  | )                                 |
| _____                 | )                                 |

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. John T. Mitchell, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended, determinate sentence of five years for possession of a controlled substance with the intent to deliver, affirmed.

Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;  
and TRIBE, Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM

Joel William White pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance with the intent to deliver. Idaho Code § 37-2732(a)(1). The district court sentenced White to a determinate term of five years; however, the district court suspended the sentence and placed White on probation for five years. White appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the

length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, White's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.