IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 52060

STATE OF IDAHO,)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: April 29, 2025
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
ANTHONY DANIEL GARZA,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Patrick Miller, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of incarceration of two years, for aggravated assault, <u>affirmed</u>.

Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Anthony Daniel Garza pled guilty to aggravated assault, Idaho Code §§ 18-901(a), 18-905(b), 19-2520, and 18-204. The district court imposed a unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of incarceration of two years, to run consecutively to any other sentence currently being served. Garza appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984);

State v. Toohill, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Garza's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.