

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 51993

STATE OF IDAHO, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff-Respondent, ) Filed: February 21, 2025  
 )  
 v. ) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk  
 )  
 DANIEL GABRIEL YODER, ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED  
 ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT  
 ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY  
 )  
 Defendant-Appellant. )  
 )

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Elmore County. Hon. Theodore Fleming, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent, unified terms of thirty-five years, with minimum periods of confinement of ten years, for two counts of rape, affirmed.

Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender; Stacey M. Donohue, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;  
and TRIBE, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Daniel Gabriel Yoder pled guilty to two counts of rape. Idaho Code § 18-6101(1). In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Yoder to concurrent, unified terms of thirty-five years, with minimum periods of confinement of ten years. Yoder appeals, arguing that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984);

*State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Yoder's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.