IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 51435

STATE OF IDAHO,)
DI : 4:00 D) Filed: July 25, 2024
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Malassia Carassasia Charle
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
MEHMED HALILOVIC,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Peter G. Barton, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified term of life with a minimum period of confinement of three years for rape, <u>affirmed</u>.

Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender, Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and TRIBE, Judge

PER CURIAM

Mehmed Halilovic pled guilty to rape, Idaho Code § 18-6101. The district court imposed a unified term of life with a determinate term of three years, to run concurrently with any other sentences. Halilovic appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the

length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Halilovic's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.