## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 51225**

STATE OF IDAHO,  Plaintiff-Respondent,  v.  EVARISTO MANUEL ALVEAR,  Defendant-Appellant.	Filed: June 3, 2025  Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk  THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION AND SHALL NOT BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Michael J. Reardon, District Judge.  Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of ten years, for robbery, affirmed.  Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kimberly A. Coster, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.	
Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.  Before HUSKEY, Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and TRIBE, Judge	

## PER CURIAM

Evaristo Manuel Alvear was found guilty of robbery. I.C. §§ 18-6501 and 18-6502. The district court sentenced Alvear to a unified term of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of ten years. Alvear appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.<sup>1</sup>

Alvear was also found guilty of and sentenced for an additional felony and an additional misdemeanor, However, he does not challenge these judgments of convictions or sentences on appeal.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Alvear's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.