IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 50805

STATE OF IDAHO,)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: May 28, 2024
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
TRINITY MARIE MCNEW,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonner County. Hon. Lamont C. Berecz, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for aggravated assault, <u>affirmed</u>.

Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and TRIBE, Judge

PER CURIAM

Trinity Marie McNew was found guilty of aggravated assault, Idaho Code § 18-905. The district court imposed a unified term of five years with three years determinate and retained jurisdiction. McNew appeals, contending that the district court should have sentenced her to a lesser term of imprisonment or probation. Subsequent to the filing of the appellant's brief, the district court placed McNew on probation for a period of five years.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, McNew's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.