

SUMMARY STATEMENT

State v. Ewing, Docket No. 50700

Demetri X. Ewing appealed from his judgment of conviction for first-degree felony murder, committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery and/or burglary. Demetri raised two challenges on appeal, alleging the district court erred in: (1) denying his motions to suppress and for a *Franks* hearing; and (2) admitting statements from the decedent's mother in violation of the Confrontation Clause and *Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36 (2004). Affirming Demetri's conviction, the Idaho Supreme Court held that the district court did not err in denying Demetri's motion to suppress, nor was Demetri entitled to a *Franks* hearing. The Court also concluded that, while the district court erred by admitting video testimony from the decedent's mother, that error did not affect the outcome of Demetri's trial.

******This summary constitutes no part of the opinion of the Court, but has been prepared by court staff for the convenience of the public.******