

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 50291

STATE OF IDAHO,)
)
 Plaintiff-Respondent,)
)
 v.)
)
 JOSIYAH NATHANIEL SKINNER,)
)
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Boundary County. Hon. Barbara A. Buchanan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent, unified sentences of twenty years, with minimum periods of confinement of five years, for two counts of lewd conduct with a child under sixteen, affirmed.

Erik R. Lehtinen, Interim State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Mark W. Olson, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LORELLO, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Josiyah Nathaniel Skinner was found guilty of two counts of lewd conduct with a child under sixteen. I.C. § 18-1508. The district court sentenced Skinner to concurrent, unified terms of twenty years, with minimum periods of confinement of five years. Skinner appeals, arguing that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Skinner's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.