

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 50215

STATE OF IDAHO,)
)
 Plaintiff-Respondent,) **Filed: February 13, 2024**
)
 v.) **Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk**
)
 LOGAN VAUGHN SILVA,) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)
 _____)

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Shoshone County. Hon. Ronald J. Wilper, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of incarceration of four years, for rape, affirmed.

Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jacob L. Westerfield, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Logan Vaughn Silva was found guilty of rape, Idaho Code § 18-6101. The district court imposed a unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of incarceration of four years. Silva appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the

length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Silva's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.