

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 50034

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
	) <b>Filed: March 16, 2023</b>
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)
	) <b>Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk</b>
v.	)
	) <b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
JEREMY LEE HASSETT,	) <b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
Defendant-Appellant.	)
_____	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Second Judicial District, State of Idaho, Idaho County. Hon. Gregory FitzMaurice, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of three years with one and one-half years determinate for felony eluding a police officer, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Before LORELLO, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;  
and BRAILSFORD, Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM

Jeremy Lee Hassett pled guilty to felony eluding a police officer, Idaho Code § 49-1404(2)(3). In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court imposed a unified sentence of three years with one and one-half years determinate. Hassett appeals, contending the district court abused its discretion by declining to place him on probation.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984);

*State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). That discretion includes the trial court's decision regarding whether a defendant should be placed on probation and whether to retain jurisdiction. I.C. § 19-2601(3), (4); *State v. Reber*, 138 Idaho 275, 278, 61 P.3d 632, 635 (Ct. App. 2002); *State v. Lee*, 117 Idaho 203, 205-06, 786 P.2d 594, 596-97 (Ct. App. 1990). The record in this case shows that the district court properly considered the information before it and determined that probation was not appropriate. Therefore, Hassett's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.