## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 49963**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
	) Filed: June 14, 2023
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)
	) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
<b>v.</b>	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
GREGORY KEITH STOCKING,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)
,	) OPINION AND SHALL NO

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Lamont C. Berecz, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and determinate sentence of five years, for aggravated assault, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Emily M. Joyce, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LORELLO, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;

and HUSKEY, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Gregory Keith Stocking pled guilty to aggravated assault, Idaho Code § 18-905. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court imposed a determinate sentence of five years. Stocking appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Stocking's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.