## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 49905**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: March 7, 2023
	) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
JASON NICHOLAS LONG,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Peter G. Barton, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of four years, for intimidating, impeding, influencing, or preventing the attendance of a witness, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Following a jury trial, Jason Nicholas Long was found guilty of intimidating, impeding, influencing, or preventing the attendance of a witness, Idaho Code § 18-2604. Long was also charged with being a persistent violator, I.C. § 19-2514 to which he pled guilty. The district court imposed a unified term of ten years with four years determinate. Long appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Long's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.