

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 49867

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) **Filed: December 1, 2022**
 Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) **Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk**
 v.)
) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
 DANYEL ELAINE STAGER,) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)
 _____)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Jason D. Scott, District Judge.

Order revoking probation, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Danyel Elaine Stager pled guilty to possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c). The district court imposed a unified sentence of seven years with two years determinate, but after a period of retained jurisdiction, suspended the sentence and placed Stager on probation. Subsequently, Stager admitted to violating the terms of the probation and requested the district court to revoke her probation. The district court consequently revoked probation and ordered execution of the original sentence. Stager appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion in revoking probation.

Although Stager agreed with the State's recommendation at the time of sentencing and received the sentence she asked for, Stager asserts that the district court erred in revoking probation. The doctrine of invited error applies to estop a party from asserting an error when his or her own conduct induces the commission of the error. *State v. Atkinson*, 124 Idaho 816, 819, 864 P.2d 654, 657 (Ct. App. 1993). One may not complain of errors one has consented to or acquiesced in. *State v. Caudill*, 109 Idaho 222, 226, 706 P.2d 456, 460 (1985); *State v. Lee*, 131 Idaho 600, 605, 961 P.2d 1203, 1208 (Ct. App. 1998). In short, invited errors are not reversible. *State v. Gittins*, 129 Idaho 54, 58, 921 P.2d 754, 758 (Ct. App. 1996). This doctrine applies to sentencing decisions as well as rulings made during trial. *State v. Griffith*, 110 Idaho 613, 614, 716 P.2d 1385, 1386 (Ct. App. 1986).

Therefore, because Stager received the sentence she requested, she may not complain that the district court abused its discretion. Accordingly, the order revoking probation is affirmed.