

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 49118

STATE OF IDAHO,)
)
 Plaintiff-Respondent,) **Filed: May 25, 2022**
)
 v.) **Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk**
)
 DENNIS JUSTIN WRIGHT,) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Rick Carnaroli, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and aggregate unified twenty-year sentence, with a minimum period of confinement of seven years, suspended for a term of probation for two counts of felony injury to child, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Emily Joyce, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LORELLO, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Dennis Justin Wright pled guilty to two counts of felony injury to child, Idaho Code § 18-1501(1). The district court imposed an aggregate, unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of incarceration of seven years, suspended the sentence, and placed Wright on a term of probation. Wright appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Wright's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.