IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 49001

)
) Filed: January 25, 2022
)
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Jonathan Medema, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and sentence, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jacob L. Westerfield, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LORELLO, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;

and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Mario Alberto Alvarez pled guilty to grand theft with a persistent violator enhancement, Idaho Code §§ 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1)(b), 18-2409. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court imposed an aggregate term of ten years with two years determinate. Alvarez appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Although Alvarez received the sentence he asked for, he asserts that the district court erred by imposing an excessive sentence. The doctrine of invited error applies to estop a party from asserting an error when his or her own conduct induces the commission of the error. *State v. Atkinson*, 124 Idaho 816, 819, 864 P.2d 654, 657 (Ct. App. 1993). One may not complain of errors one has consented to or acquiesced in. *State v. Caudill*, 109 Idaho 222, 226, 706 P.2d 456,

460 (1985); *State v. Lee*, 131 Idaho 600, 605, 961 P.2d 1203, 1208 (Ct. App. 1998). In short, invited errors are not reversible. *State v. Gittins*, 129 Idaho 54, 58, 921 P.2d 754, 758 (Ct. App. 1996). This doctrine applies to sentencing decisions as well as rulings made during trial. *State v. Griffith*, 110 Idaho 613, 614, 716 P.2d 1385, 1386 (Ct. App. 1986).

Therefore, because Alvarez received the sentence he requested, he may not complain that the district court abused its discretion. Accordingly, Alvarez's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.