## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 48867**

| STATE OF IDAHO,                  | )  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Plaintiff-Respondent,            | <ul><li>) Filed: February 25, 2022</li><li>)</li><li>) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk</li></ul>                  |
| v.                               | )  |
| DAVID ROBERT ANTHONY KIRBY, JR., | <ul><li>) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</li><li>) OPINION AND SHALL NOT</li><li>) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</li></ul> |
| Defendant-Appellant.             | )<br>)<br>)  |

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty years with three years determinate for lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kiley A. Heffner, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Judge; HUSKEY, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

## PER CURIAM

David Robert Anthony Kirby, Jr., entered an *Alford*<sup>1</sup> plea to lewd conduct with a minor, Idaho Code § 18-1508. In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court imposed a unified sentence of twenty years with three years determinate. Kirby appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970).

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Kirby's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.