## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 48425**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: August 16, 2021
	) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
KILBY DEE WILLIAMS,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bingham County. Hon. Darren B. Simpson, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent sentences of life, with a minimum period of confinement of twenty years, for lewd conduct with child under sixteen years, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth Ann Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and LORELLO, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Kilby Dee Williams pled guilty to three counts of lewd conduct with a child under sixteen years. Idaho Code § 18-1508. The district court sentenced Williams to concurrent sentences of life with twenty years determinate. Williams appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Although Williams received the sentence he asked for, Williams asserts that the district court erred by imposing an excessive sentence. The doctrine of invited error applies to estop a party from asserting an error when his or her own conduct induces the commission of the error.

State v. Atkinson, 124 Idaho 816, 819, 864 P.2d 654, 657 (Ct. App. 1993). One may not complain of errors one has consented to or acquiesced in. State v. Caudill, 109 Idaho 222, 226, 706 P.2d 456, 460 (1985); State v. Lee, 131 Idaho 600, 605, 961 P.2d 1203, 1208 (Ct. App. 1998). In short, invited errors are not reversible. State v. Gittins, 129 Idaho 54, 58, 921 P.2d 754, 758 (Ct. App. 1996). This doctrine applies to sentencing decisions as well as rulings made during trial. State v. Griffith, 110 Idaho 613, 614, 716 P.2d 1385, 1386 (Ct. App. 1986).

Therefore, because Williams received the sentence he requested, he may not complain that the district court abused its discretion. Accordingly, the judgment of conviction is affirmed.