

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 48399

STATE OF IDAHO,)
)
) **Filed: May 13, 2021**
)
) **Plaintiff-Respondent,**)
) **Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk**
)
) **v.**)
) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
) **ZACKARY JAMES STEVENS,**) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
) **Defendant-Appellant.**)
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Ronald J. Wilper, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and consecutive, unified sentences of seven years with one year determinate for possession of heroin and five years with three years determinate for unlawful possession of a firearm, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kiley A. Heffner, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Mark W. Olson, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Zackary James Stevens pled guilty to possession of heroin, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c), and unlawful possession of a firearm, I.C. § 18-3316. In exchange for his guilty pleas, additional charges were dismissed. The district court imposed a unified sentence of seven years with one year determinate for possession of heroin and a consecutive sentence of five years with three years determinate for unlawful possession of a firearm. Stevens appeals, contending that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Stevens' judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.