

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 48368

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	
	)	<b>Filed: May 10, 2021</b>
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	
	)	<b>Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk</b>
v.	)	
	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
<b>BERNARDO BRAVO-VAZQUEZ, aka</b>	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
<b>BERNARDO BRAVO-VASQUEZ, aka</b>	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
<b>BERNARDO BRAVO,</b>	)	
	)	
Defendant-Appellant.	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Nancy A. Baskin, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years with four years determinate for felony driving under the influence, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kiley A. Heffner, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Justin R. Porter, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before GRATTON, Judge; LORELLO, Judge;  
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Bernardo Bravo-Vazquez pled guilty to felony driving under the influence, Idaho Code §§ 18-8004, 18-8005(9). In exchange for his guilty plea, the State agreed not to file a persistent violator enhancement. The district court imposed a unified sentence of ten years with four years determinate. Bravo-Vazquez appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Bravo-Vazquez's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.