

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 48308

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: September 17, 2021
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
ARNOLD EARL SCOTT,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. John T. Mitchell, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years, for failure to register as a sex offender, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kiley A. Heffner, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Arnold Earl Scott pled guilty to failure to register as a sex offender, Idaho Code § 18-8307. The district court imposed a unified term of ten years with eight years determinate. Scott appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion when it “placed undue emphasis on punishing him for prior crimes for which he had already served his sentences,” and by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Our role is limited to determining whether reasonable minds could reach the same conclusion as the district court. *State v. Biggs*, 168 Idaho 112, 116, 480 P.3d 150, 154 (Ct. App. 2020).

Scott has failed to show that the district court abused its discretion by sentencing him to ten years, with eight years determinate for failure to register as a sex offender, and considering his prior crimes when imposing the sentence. Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Scott's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.