## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

**Docket No. 48147** 

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
	) Filed: April 21, 2021
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)
	) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
COOPER JADE STONE,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Twin Falls County. Hon. John K. Butler, District Judge.

Order relinquishing jurisdiction, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jacob L. Westerfield, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; John C. McKinney, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

## Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and LORELLO, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Cooper Jade Stone pled guilty to possession of methamphetamine. Idaho Code § 37-2732(c)(1). The district court sentenced Stone to a unified term of five years with two years determinate. The sentence was suspended and Stone was placed on probation. One month later, Stone admitted to violating the terms of his probation. The district court placed Stone on retained jurisdiction. After Stone completed his rider, the district court relinquished jurisdiction. Stone appeals, claiming that the district court erred by refusing to grant probation.

We note that the decision to place a defendant on probation or whether, instead, to relinquish jurisdiction over the defendant is a matter within the sound discretion of the district court and will not be overturned on appeal absent an abuse of that discretion. *State v. Hood*, 102 Idaho 711, 712, 639 P.2d 9, 10 (1981); *State v. Lee*, 117 Idaho 203, 205-06, 786 P.2d 594, 596-97 (Ct. App. 1990). The record in this case shows that the district court properly considered the information before it and determined that probation was not appropriate. We hold that Stone has failed to show that the district court abused its discretion in relinquishing jurisdiction.

Stone argues that all of the relevant goals of sentencing could have been accomplished with probation. As noted above, however, the district court found that probation was not an appropriate course of action in Stone's case. The record does not indicate that the district court abused its discretion in sentencing.

The order of the district court relinquishing jurisdiction is affirmed.