## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 48141**

Plaintiff-Respondent,  v.  BRIER DELORE ATKINSON,  Defendant-Appellant.	<ul> <li>Filed: July 8, 2021</li> <li>Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk</li> <li>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</li> <li>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</li> <li>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</li> </ul>
Appeal from the District Court of the Falls County. Hon. Benjamin J. Cluff Order relinquishing jurisdiction, affirm	
Waldron Legal, PLLC; Maya P. Wald Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.	ron, Boise, for appellant.  General; Mark W. Olson, Deputy Attorney
Before HUSKEY, Chic	ef Judge; GRATTON, Judge;

## PER CURIAM

Brier Delore Atkinson entered an *Alford*<sup>1</sup> plea to felony domestic battery, Idaho Code § 18-918(2)(a). The district court imposed a unified sentence of eight years, with three years determinate. The district court retained jurisdiction, and Atkinson was sent to participate in the rider program. After Atkinson completed his rider, the district court relinquished jurisdiction. Atkinson appeals, claiming that the district court erred by relinquishing jurisdiction.

and LORELLO, Judge

We note that the decision to place a defendant on probation or whether, instead, to relinquish jurisdiction over the defendant is a matter within the sound discretion of the district

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970).

court and will not be overturned on appeal absent an abuse of that discretion. *State v. Hood*, 102 Idaho 711, 712, 639 P.2d 9, 10 (1981); *State v. Lee*, 117 Idaho 203, 205-06, 786 P.2d 594, 596-97 (Ct. App. 1990). The record in this case shows that the district court properly considered the information before it and determined that probation was not appropriate. We hold that Atkinson has failed to show that the district court abused its discretion in relinquishing jurisdiction.

The order of the district court relinquishing jurisdiction is affirmed.