## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 48127**

| )                          |
|----------------------------|
| ) Filed: May 24, 2021      |
| )                          |
| ) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk |
| )                          |
| ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED   |
| ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT    |
| ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY    |
| )                          |
| )                          |
|                            |

Appeal from the District Court of the Second Judicial District, State of Idaho, Idaho County. Hon. Jeff M. Brudie, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kimberly A. Coster, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jackie Shayde Sedillo pled guilty to two counts of possession of stolen property; two counts of grand theft auto; one count of armed robbery; one count of eluding an officer; one count of unlawful possession of a firearm; and one count of exhibition of a deadly weapon. Idaho Code §§ 18-2403(4) 18-2407(1)(b)(1), 18-2403(1), 18-6501, 49-1404(2), 18-3316(1), and 18-3303. In exchange for his guilty plea, the district court dismissed other charges. The district court sentenced Sedillo to:

Count 1: stolen property - two years determinate;

Count 2: one count of grand theft auto - two years determinate, to run

consecutive to Count 1;

Count 3: one count of grand theft auto - two years determinate, to run

consecutive to Counts 1 and 2;

Count 8: possession of stolen property - two years determinate, to run

consecutive to Counts 1, 2, and 3;

Count 6: unlawful possession of a firearm - two years determinate, to run

consecutive to Counts 1, 2, 3, and 8;

Count 5: eluding a police officer - five years determinate, to run consecutive

to Counts 1, 2, 3, and 8;

Count 4: armed robbery - unified term of twenty years with five years

determinate, to run consecutive to Counts 1, 2, 3, 5, and 8; and,

Count 7: exhibition of a deadly weapon - six months in jail, with credit for

time served since arrest.

Sedillo appeals, asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing excessive sentences.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Sedillo's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.