

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket Nos. 48114/48115

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| STATE OF IDAHO, |) |
| |) Filed: June 15, 2021 |
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) |
| |) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk |
| v. |) |
| |) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED |
| MICHELLE RENE DESCHARME, |) OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| |) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) |
| <hr/> |) |

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Twin Falls County. Hon. Benjamin J. Cluff, District Judge.

Judgments of conviction and concurrent, unified sentences of three years determinate for issuing an insufficient funds check and eleven years with five years determinate for forgery, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; John C. McKinney, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

In cases consolidated on appeal, Michelle Rene Descharme pled guilty to issuing an insufficient funds check in Docket No. 48114, Idaho Code § 18-3106(b), and to forgery in Docket No. 48115, I.C. § 18-3601. The district court imposed concurrent, unified sentences of three years determinate for issuing an insufficient funds check and eleven years with five years determinate for forgery. Descharme appeals, contending that her sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Descharme's judgments of conviction and sentences are affirmed.