

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 48113

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
	) <b>Filed: December 30, 2020</b>
<b>Plaintiff-Respondent,</b>	)
	) <b>Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk</b>
v.	)
	) <b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
<b>BRADLEY KAY MURDOCH,</b>	) <b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
<b>Defendant-Appellant.</b>	)
_____	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Davis VanderVelde, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent unified sentences of twenty-two and a half years, with minimum periods of confinement of seven and a half years, for ten counts of sexual exploitation of a child and being a persistent violator, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;  
and LORELLO, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Bradley Kay Murdoch pled guilty to ten counts of sexual exploitation of a child, I.C. § 18-1507, and admitted to being a persistent violator, I.C. § 19-2514. In exchange for his guilty pleas, an additional sentencing enhancement was dismissed. The district court sentenced Murdoch to concurrent, unified terms of twenty-two and a half years, with minimum periods of confinement of seven and a half years, to run consecutively to other unrelated sentences. Murdoch appeals, arguing that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Murdoch's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.