IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 48010

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: July 14, 2021
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
CHARLES ROBERT CORTEZ, aka) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
CHARLES ROBERT APPELL,) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Peter G. Barton, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for unlawful possession of a firearm and a persistent violator enhancement, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Charles Robert Cortez was found guilty of unlawful possession of a firearm, Idaho Code § 18-3316, and pleaded guilty to a persistent violator enhancement, I.C. § 19-2514. The district court imposed a unified sentence of five years, with one year determinate. Cortez appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Cortez's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.