

## **SUMMARY STATEMENT**

*State of Idaho v. Kayla Dianne Hanchey*  
Docket Nos. 47979 & 47980

In these consolidated cases arising out of Twin Falls County, the Court of Appeals affirmed the district court's order revoking Kayla Dianne Hanchey's probation. While on probation following a period of retained jurisdiction, Hanchey absconded to Arizona when the State moved to revoke her probation. After being incarcerated for a year in Arizona for a drug crime, Hanchey returned to Idaho, voluntarily appeared in court, and admitted violating her probation. During the subsequent probation violation disposition hearing, the district court solicited "additions or corrections" to Hanchey's updated presentence investigation report. Hanchey proposed two additions. After hearing both parties' disposition recommendations, the district court revoked Hanchey's probation and imposed the underlying sentences.

On appeal, Hanchey argued that the district court erred by failing to "redline" her presentence investigation report to include her proposed additions and by revoking her probation. The Court of Appeals held that Hanchey's arguments related to the redlining of her presentence investigation report were unpreserved because she failed to obtain a ruling from the district court regarding her proposed additions and, even if she had, the district court was not obligated to redline the report. Additionally, the Court of Appeals held that Hanchey failed to show that the district court abused its discretion by revoking her probation. Consequently, the Court of Appeals affirmed the district court's order revoking Hanchey's probation.

*This summary constitutes no part of the opinion of the Court, but has been prepared  
by court staff for the convenience of the public.*