

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47930

STATE OF IDAHO,)	
)	Filed: February 23, 2021
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	
)	Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.)	
)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
DAKOTA J. GUNHAMMER,)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)	
_____)	

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Shoshone County. Hon. Scott Wayman, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent, unified sentences of three years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for possession of a controlled substance with intent to deliver and possession of a controlled substance, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Dakota J. Gunhammer pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance with the intent to deliver, Idaho Code § 37-2732(a)(1)(A), and possession of a controlled substance, I.C. § 37-2732(c)(1). The district court imposed concurrent, unified sentences of three years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, and retained jurisdiction. Gunhammer appeals, contending that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Gunhammer's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.