



new or additional information subsequently provided to the district court in support of the motion. *State v. Huffman*, 144 Idaho 201, 203, 159 P.3d 838, 840 (2007). Upon review of the record, including any new information submitted with Wagstaff's Rule 35 motion, we conclude no abuse of discretion has been shown. Therefore, the district court's order denying Wagstaff's Rule 35 motion is affirmed.