

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47839

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	
	)	<b>Filed: January 13, 2021</b>
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	
	)	<b>Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk</b>
v.	)	
	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
CHRISTOPHER BRAYDEN VOGLER,	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
Defendant-Appellant.	)	
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Stephen S. Dunn, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of six years, with minimum period of confinement of three years, for drug trafficking in heroin, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before HUSKEY Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;  
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

1 Christopher Brayden Vogler pleaded guilty to drug trafficking in heroin (2 grams to less  
2 than 7 grams), Idaho Code § 37-2732B(a)(6)(A). The district court imposed a six-year sentence,  
3 with a minimum period of confinement of three years. Vogler appeals, contending that his  
4 sentence is excessive.

5 Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the  
6 factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and  
7 need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-  
8 15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984);

1 *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the  
2 length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722,  
3 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this  
4 case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

5 Therefore, Vogler's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.