

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47821

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: September 28, 2020
 Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
 v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
 JAMES EDWARD STEELMAN,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Nancy A. Baskin, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of six years with two years determinate for possession of methamphetamine, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Ben P. McGreevy, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

James Edward Steelman pled guilty to possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c), and misdemeanor operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of drugs, I.C. 18-8004. In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court imposed a unified sentence of six years with two years determinate for possession of methamphetamine and a concurrent 180-day sentence for driving under the influence of drugs. Steelman filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion for reduction of sentence, which the district court denied. Steelman appeals, contending that his sentence for possession of methamphetamine is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Steelman's judgment of conviction and sentence for possession of methamphetamine are affirmed.