IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47691

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: September 8, 2020
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
V.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
KEAGEN H. BALLS,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Stephen S. Dunn, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended, unified sentence of five years with two years determinate for felony injury to a child, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Ben P. McGreevy, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jeffery D. Nye, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Keagen H. Balls pled guilty to felony injury to a child, Idaho Code § 18-1501(1). The district court imposed a unified sentence of five years with two years determinate, suspended the sentence, and placed Balls on supervised probation. Balls appeals, contending that his underlying sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Balls' judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.