## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 47636**

| STATE OF IDAHO,              | )                          |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                              | ) Filed: August 4, 2020    |
| Plaintiff-Respondent,        | )                          |
|                              | ) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk |
| v.                           | )                          |
|                              | ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED   |
| SHAYNE PATRICK GAXIOLA-TROY, | ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT    |
|                              | ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY    |
| Defendant-Appellant.         |                            |
| <del></del>                  | )                          |

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Samuel Hoagland, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified life sentence, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen years of age, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jeff Nye, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM

Shayne Patrick Gaxiola-Troy pled guilty to one count of lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen years of age. I.C. § 18-1508. In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court sentenced Gaxiola-Troy to a unified term of life imprisonment, with a minimum period of confinement of five years. Gaxiola-Troy filed an I.C.R. 35 motion, which the district court denied. Gaxiola-Troy appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Gaxiola-Troy's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.