

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket Nos. 47621/47622

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: October 29, 2020
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
LORIN WEEKS,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Rick Carnaroli, District Judge.

Judgments of conviction and sentences for second degree kidnapping and lewd conduct with a child under sixteen, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Judge; LORELLO, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

In Docket No. 47621 Lorin Weeks pled guilty to second degree kidnapping. Idaho Code §§ 18-4501(1), 18-4503. The district court sentenced Weeks to a unified term of life with twenty-five years determinate. Weeks then withdrew his guilty plea and entered into a binding plea agreement. The district court sentenced Weeks to a unified term of life with eighteen years determinate.

In Docket No. 47622 Weeks pled guilty to lewd conduct with a child under sixteen. I.C. § 18-1508. The district court sentenced Weeks to a unified term of life with twenty-five years determinate. Weeks subsequently withdrew his guilty plea and entered into a binding plea

agreement. The district court sentenced Weeks to a unified term of life with eighteen years determinate. Weeks appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing excessive sentences.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Weeks' judgments of conviction and sentences are affirmed.