

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47607

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| STATE OF IDAHO, |) |
| |) Filed: October 29, 2020 |
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) |
| |) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk |
| v. |) |
| |) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED |
| GAYNELL M. GOODSON, |) OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| |) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) |
| |) |

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Elmore County. Hon. Nancy A. Baskin, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of four years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for intimidating a witness, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Gaynell M. Goodson was found guilty of intimidating a witness. Idaho Code § 18-2605(3). The district court sentenced Goodson to a unified term of four years with one year determinate and retained jurisdiction. Goodson appeals, asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence and not granting Goodson a withheld judgment and probation.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Goodson's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.