

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47584

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) **Filed: July 29, 2020**
 Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) **Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk**
 v.)
) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
 LORITTA KATHLEEN ADE,) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Steven J. Hippler, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended, concurrent unified sentences of five years, with minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for two counts of felony possession of controlled substances, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Loritta Kathleen Ade was found guilty of two counts of possession of a controlled substance. I.C. § 37-2732(c). The district court sentenced Ade to concurrent unified terms of five years, with minimum periods of confinement of one and one-half years. However, the district

court suspended the sentences and placed Ade on probation. Ade appeals, arguing that her suspended sentences are excessive.¹

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Ade's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.

¹ Ade was also found guilty of and sentenced for misdemeanor possession of a controlled substance and possession of drug paraphernalia. However, she does not challenge these sentences on appeal.