## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 47515**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: July 16, 2020
	) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk
v.	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
WADE ALEXZANDER BEST,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
Defendant-Appellant.	)
	)

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonner County. Hon. Barbara A. Buchanan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of ten years, for lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen years of age, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; R. Jonathan Shirts, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Wade Alexzander Best entered an  $Alford^1$  plea to lewd conduct with a child under sixteen years of age. I.C. § 18-1508. In exchange for his guilty plea, the State agreed not to pursue an additional charge. The district court sentenced Best to a unified term of twenty years, with a

1

See North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970).

minimum period of confinement of ten years. Best filed an I.C.R. 35 motion, which the district court denied. Best appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Best's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.