IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47445

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: May 7, 2020
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
FABRICIO MARTIN MARTINEZ DIAZ,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
~ *)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Jason D. Scott, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and consecutive sentences of ten years determinate for one count of sexual exploitation of a child, and five years determinate for one count of sexual exploitation of a child, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; R. Jonathan Shirts, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Fabricio Martin Martinez Diaz pled guilty to two counts of sexual exploitation of a child. Idaho Code § 18-1507(2)(b). The district court sentenced Diaz to a determinate term of ten years for one count of sexual exploitation of a child and a determinate term of five years for a second count of sexual exploitation of a child, with the sentences to run consecutively. Diaz appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing excessive sentences.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Diaz's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.