## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 47403**

)
) Filed: June 5, 2020
)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Patrick J. Miller, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended, unified sentence of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for felony driving under the influence and being a persistent violator, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Erik R. Lehtinen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM

Ty Donald Bradford was found guilty of felony driving under the influence, I.C. §§18-8004 and 18-8005(9), and being a persistent violator, I.C. § 19-2514. The district court sentenced Bradford to a unified term of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. However, the district court retained jurisdiction and sent Bradford to participate in the rider program. Following successful completion of his rider, the district court suspended

Bradford's sentence and placed him on probation. Bradford appeals, arguing that his suspended sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Bradford's judgment of conviction and suspended sentence are affirmed.