

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47398

STATE OF IDAHO, )  
 ) Filed: October 28, 2020  
 Plaintiff-Respondent, )  
 ) Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk  
 v. )  
 ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED  
 TAYLOR SCOTT STRADLEY, ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT  
 ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY  
 Defendant-Appellant. )  
 )

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Order relinquishing jurisdiction, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;  
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Taylor Scott Stradley pled guilty to one count of possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c), and one count of grand theft, I.C. §§ 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1)(b), 18-2409. The district court imposed concurrent, unified sentences of seven years with two years determinate, suspended the sentences and placed Stradley on probation. Stradley violated his probation, and the district court revoked probation, executed the sentences and retained jurisdiction. About two months later, following a recommendation from the Idaho Department of Correction, the district court relinquished jurisdiction. Stradley appeals, claiming that the district court erred in relinquishing jurisdiction.

We note that the decision to place a defendant on probation or whether, instead, to relinquish jurisdiction over the defendant is a matter within the sound discretion of the district court and will not be overturned on appeal absent an abuse of that discretion. *State v. Hood*, 102 Idaho 711, 712, 639 P.2d 9, 10 (1981); *State v. Lee*, 117 Idaho 203, 205-06, 786 P.2d 594, 596-97 (Ct. App. 1990). The record in this case shows that the district court properly considered the information before it and determined that retaining jurisdiction was not appropriate. We hold that Stradley has failed to show that the district court abused its discretion in relinquishing jurisdiction. The order of the district court relinquishing jurisdiction is affirmed.