

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47186

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: April 15, 2020
 Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
 v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
 DENIS LOPEZ SERRANO,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)
 _____)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Minidoka County. Hon. Jonathan P. Brody, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified determinate life sentence for second degree murder; a consecutive twenty-five-year determinate sentence for second degree kidnapping, and a concurrent five-year determinate sentence for aggravated assault, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Mark W. Olson, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Denis Lopez Serrano pled guilty to second degree murder, second degree kidnapping, aggravated assault, and a sentencing enhancement. Idaho Code §§ 18-4001, -4002, -4003; 18-4501, -4503; and 18-901(b), -905(b). The district court sentenced Serrano to a unified determinate life sentence for second degree murder, a consecutive twenty-five-year determinate sentence for second degree kidnapping, and a concurrent determinate five-year sentence for aggravated assault. Serrano appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing excessive sentences.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Serrano's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.