## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 47152**

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: May 12, 2020
	) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
<b>v.</b>	)
	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
LINDA DEANN BASSETT,	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.	)
••	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Samuel Hoagland, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years with one year determinate for one count of felony exploitation of a vulnerable adult, <u>affirmed</u>.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge; and BRAILSFORD, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Linda Deann Bassett pled guilty to felony exploitation of a vulnerable adult, Idaho Code § 18-1505(3). The district court imposed a unified sentence of ten years with one year determinate, to run concurrently with Bassett's sentence in a separate case. Bassett appeals, contending that her sentence is excessive.

Although Bassett received the sentence she asked for, Bassett asserts that the district court erred in imposing an excessive sentence. The doctrine of invited error applies to estop a party from asserting an error when his or her own conduct induces the commission of the error. *State v. Atkinson*, 124 Idaho 816, 819, 864 P.2d 654, 657 (Ct. App. 1993). One may not

complain of errors one has consented to or acquiesced in. *State v. Caudill*, 109 Idaho 222, 226, 706 P.2d 456, 460 (1985); *State v. Lee*, 131 Idaho 600, 605, 961 P.2d 1203, 1208 (Ct. App. 1998). In short, invited errors are not reversible. *State v. Gittins*, 129 Idaho 54, 58, 921 P.2d 754, 758 (Ct. App. 1996). This doctrine applies to sentencing decisions as well as rulings made during trial. *State v. Griffith*, 110 Idaho 613, 614, 716 P.2d 1385, 1386 (Ct. App. 1986).

Because Bassett received the sentence she requested, she may not complain that the district court abused its discretion. Accordingly, Bassett's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.